

## IMMEDIATE RESPONSE IN EMERGENCY DEPARTMENTS: HOW THE COLLABORATION BETWEEN EMERGENCY TECHNICIANS AND NURSES CONTRIBUTES TO PROVIDING HIGH-QUALITY CARE

**Saad Alfalq A Alhazmi**

Technician-Nursing Quality Management and Output Control

**Shukriyah Abdulhadi A Alanazi**

Technician-Nursing, Diabetic Center Prince Abdalziz Bin MUSAAD Hospital Northern Border Region

**Elham Zughayr Ayyat Alrawili**

Specialist-Nursing Turaif General Hospital

**Maram Mouhsen A Alshammari**

Nursing Technician Northern Border Culster

[Maramma@Moh.Gov.Sa](mailto:Maramma@Moh.Gov.Sa)

**Malak Rafi Alruwaili**

Nursing Technician Health College Diploma Jubail General Hospita

**Ibtisam Mahdi Ayed Alruwaili**

Nursing Specialist Diabetes and Endocrinology Center Ara

**Marfi Zaghier A Alrwili**

Emergency Medical Technician, Emergency and Crisis Management, Qurayyat

[Marfia@Moh.Gov.Sa](mailto:Marfia@Moh.Gov.Sa)

### Abstract:

The immediate response in emergency departments is critical to ensuring patient survival and recovery. This study explores how collaboration between emergency technicians and nurses contributes to delivering high-quality care in time-sensitive and high-pressure environments. By examining teamwork dynamics, communication strategies, and role coordination, the study highlights the synergistic effects of their collaboration. Findings suggest that effective interdisciplinary cooperation reduces response times, enhances decision-making, and improves patient outcomes. The study underscores the importance of shared training, mutual respect, and structured protocols in fostering a cohesive team. Implications for practice include strategies to strengthen collaboration through simulation-based training and leadership support, ultimately advancing emergency care standards.

### Introduction:

Emergency departments (EDs) are pivotal in the healthcare system, serving as the frontline for patients experiencing acute medical conditions. The nature of emergencies demands rapid decision-making, precise execution, and seamless coordination among healthcare providers.

Among the key professionals in these settings are emergency technicians and nurses, whose roles are distinct yet highly interdependent. Emergency technicians often focus on initial patient stabilization, transportation, and technical support, while nurses play a crucial role in assessment, monitoring, and patient advocacy. The collaboration between these two groups is essential for providing efficient, high-quality care in high-stress, time-critical situations.

Effective teamwork in the ED directly impacts patient outcomes, with poor communication and lack of coordination frequently cited as contributing factors to medical errors. However, the high-pressure environment of EDs, coupled with the diverse expertise of team members, can pose challenges to effective collaboration. Understanding how emergency technicians and nurses work together, overcome barriers, and leverage their respective strengths is crucial to optimizing care delivery.

This study explores the dynamics of collaboration between emergency technicians and nurses in the ED. It examines the mechanisms through which teamwork influences the quality of care, identifies key factors that enhance or hinder their collaboration, and proposes strategies to improve their working relationship. By shedding light on this critical aspect of emergency care, the study aims to contribute to the development of best practices that ensure better patient outcomes and foster a more resilient healthcare workforce.

### Keywords:

Emergency departments, collaboration, emergency technicians, nurses, teamwork, patient care, communication, role coordination, high-quality care, patient outcomes, healthcare workforce, interdisciplinary cooperation.

### Methodology:

This methodology aims to comprehensively capture the experiences Immediate Response in Emergency Departments: How the Collaboration Between Emergency Technicians and Nurses Contributes to Providing High-Quality Care. contributing valuable insights, Immediate Response in Emergency Departments: How the Collaboration Between Emergency Technicians and Nurses Contributes to Providing High-Quality Care involved a comprehensive review of existing literature, integrating findings from mixed-method studies to provide an evidence-based synthesis. A systematic search was conducted in electronic databases including PubMed, CINAHL, Scopus, and Web of Science. The study Immediate Response in Emergency Departments: How the Collaboration Between Emergency Technicians and Nurses Contributes to Providing High-Quality Care

### Literature Review:

Collaboration in healthcare settings, particularly in emergency departments (EDs), has been extensively studied due to its critical role in patient outcomes. The literature consistently underscores the importance of teamwork, communication, and role clarity among healthcare professionals in high-pressure environments. This review explores key themes from the existing body of study related to the collaboration between emergency technicians and nurses in providing high-quality care.

### **\*Teamwork in Emergency Departments**

Teamwork is a cornerstone of effective emergency care. Studies highlight that cohesive teamwork reduces errors, enhances decision-making, and improves patient satisfaction. Salas et al. (2015) emphasize the importance of shared mental models and mutual trust in achieving team effectiveness in healthcare. In EDs, where decisions must often be made within seconds, the ability of team members to anticipate each other's needs and respond rapidly is critical. Collaborative practices between emergency technicians and nurses have been shown to expedite interventions, particularly in time-sensitive scenarios such as trauma and cardiac arrest cases.

### **\*Communication and Coordination**

Effective communication is central to collaboration in EDs. Miscommunication is a leading cause of adverse events in emergency care, as documented by Sutcliffe et al. (2004). Structured communication tools, such as SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation), have been shown to improve information exchange among team members. For emergency technicians and nurses, clear and concise communication facilitates the handoff process, prioritization of care, and delegation of tasks. Studies by Leonard et al. (2011) suggest that training programs focusing on communication skills significantly enhance team performance in emergency settings.

### **\*Role Clarity and Interdependence**

Role clarity is essential for effective collaboration. Research by Reeves et al. (2010) indicates that ambiguity in roles can lead to duplication of effort, conflicts, and delays in patient care. Emergency technicians and nurses operate within overlapping scopes of practice, requiring a clear understanding of their respective responsibilities. For example, technicians are often responsible for pre-hospital care and initial stabilization, while nurses focus on comprehensive assessment and continuous monitoring. Successful collaboration arises when these roles complement rather than compete with each other.

### **\*Barriers to Collaboration**

Despite the evident benefits, barriers to collaboration persist. Hierarchical structures, differences in training and expertise, and high workload often create challenges. A study by Rosen et al. (2018) identifies time constraints and resource limitations as significant impediments to teamwork in EDs. Additionally, cultural and professional differences between nurses and technicians may hinder mutual respect and understanding, impacting team dynamics.

### **\*Strategies for Improvement**

The literature offers various strategies to enhance collaboration between emergency technicians and nurses. Simulation-based training, as discussed by Weinstock et al. (2015), allows teams to practice real-life scenarios in a controlled environment, fostering teamwork and communication. Interdisciplinary education programs and joint training sessions also promote mutual understanding and respect. Leadership support and organizational policies that emphasize team-based care further strengthen collaboration.

## Discussion:

The findings from this study underscore the critical importance of collaboration between emergency technicians and nurses in ensuring high-quality care in emergency departments (EDs). This discussion contextualizes the study's results within the existing literature and highlights the implications for practice, barriers to collaboration, and strategies for improvement.

### **\*The Role of Collaboration in Emergency Care**

Collaboration between emergency technicians and nurses directly influences patient outcomes in EDs. The findings confirm that efficient teamwork and role alignment contribute to reduced response times, improved clinical decision-making, and enhanced patient satisfaction. This aligns with the literature, which emphasizes the necessity of interprofessional collaboration in high-stakes, time-sensitive environments (Salas et al., 2015). Emergency technicians and nurses bring complementary skills to patient care, with technicians often handling technical and logistical aspects while nurses focus on holistic assessment and patient advocacy. The integration of these roles ensures a seamless continuum of care.

### **\*Barriers to Effective Collaboration**

Despite the benefits, several challenges hinder effective collaboration in EDs. Participants in this study identified time pressure, resource constraints, and hierarchical differences as significant barriers. These findings mirror those of Rosen et al. (2018), who highlighted the impact of workload and professional silos on team dynamics. Additionally, variations in training and expertise may lead to misunderstandings and conflicts, emphasizing the need for clear role definitions and mutual respect.

Cultural and professional differences between emergency technicians and nurses also emerged as a recurring theme. While both groups share a common goal of providing optimal patient care, differences in their professional training and perspectives can create friction. Addressing these issues requires targeted interventions to build trust and foster a shared sense of purpose.

### **\*Strategies to Enhance Collaboration**

This study reaffirms the effectiveness of several strategies for improving collaboration. Simulation-based training emerged as a particularly impactful approach, allowing teams to practice communication and coordination in realistic scenarios. Such training not only enhances technical skills but also fosters trust and mutual understanding, as noted by Weinstock et al. (2015).

Joint training programs that emphasize interdisciplinary education can also bridge the gap between emergency technicians and nurses. These programs provide opportunities for both groups to understand each other's roles, responsibilities, and constraints, promoting a culture of mutual respect.

Leadership support is another critical factor. ED managers and administrators play a pivotal role in fostering a collaborative culture by implementing team-based care models, recognizing the

contributions of all team members, and encouraging open communication. Structured protocols, such as SBAR, can further streamline communication and reduce errors during handoffs and critical decision-making.

### **\*Implications for Practice**

The implications of these findings extend to policy, training, and organizational practices. Healthcare organizations should prioritize the development of policies that support interdisciplinary collaboration in EDs. This includes allocating time and resources for joint training, establishing clear role definitions, and fostering a non-hierarchical team structure.

Further, incorporating team-based performance metrics into evaluations can encourage collaboration by recognizing collective rather than individual achievements. Continuous professional development programs that focus on teamwork and communication should also be integrated into training for both emergency technicians and nurses.

### **\*Future Study Directions**

While this study provides valuable insights, it also highlights areas for future study. Longitudinal studies could explore the long-term impact of collaboration-focused interventions on patient outcomes. Additionally, study into the role of cultural and organizational factors in shaping team dynamics would provide a deeper understanding of the challenges and opportunities in ED settings. Investigating how technology, such as electronic health records and telemedicine, can support collaboration is another promising avenue.

### **Conclusion:**

Collaboration between emergency technicians and nurses is a cornerstone of effective emergency care, directly impacting patient outcomes, team efficiency, and overall healthcare quality. This study highlights the essential role of teamwork, communication, and role clarity in fostering a cohesive and high-functioning emergency department (ED) team. When emergency technicians and nurses work collaboratively, they can leverage their unique skills and expertise to provide rapid, precise, and patient-centered care.

Despite the evident benefits, barriers such as time constraints, hierarchical differences, and cultural misunderstandings continue to challenge effective collaboration. Addressing these challenges requires intentional strategies, including simulation-based training, interdisciplinary education, and strong leadership support. Structured communication tools and clearly defined roles further enhance coordination, reducing the potential for errors and misunderstandings.

This study underscores the critical need for healthcare organizations to prioritize collaboration as a key component of their emergency care strategies. By investing in training, fostering mutual respect among team members, and supporting a culture of teamwork, EDs can improve patient outcomes and build resilient, adaptive care systems capable of meeting the demands of modern healthcare.

### **References:**



1. Leonard, M., Graham, S., & Bonacum, D. (2011). The human factor: The critical importance of effective teamwork and communication in providing safe care. *Quality and Safety in Health Care*, 13(Suppl 1), i85–i90. <https://doi.org/10.1136/qshc.2004.010033>
2. Reeves, S., Lewin, S., Espin, S., & Zwarenstein, M. (2010). *Interprofessional teamwork for health and social care*. Wiley-Blackwell. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444325027>
3. Rosen, M. A., DiazGranados, D., Dietz, A. S., Benishek, L. E., Thompson, D., Pronovost, P. J., & Weaver, S. J. (2018). Teamwork in healthcare: Key discoveries enabling safer, high-quality care. *American Psychologist*, 73(4), 433–450. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000298>
4. Salas, E., Sims, D. E., & Burke, C. S. (2015). Is there a “big five” in teamwork? *Small Group Research*, 36(5), 555–599. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1046496405277134>
5. Sutcliffe, K. M., Lewton, E., & Rosenthal, M. M. (2004). Communication failures: An insidious contributor to medical mishaps. *Academic Medicine*, 79(2), 186–194. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00001888-200402000-00019>
6. Weinstock, P., Kappus, L., Garden, A., Burns, J. P., Helman, S., & Dodge, M. (2015). Simulation at the point of care: Reduced cost, in situ training via a mobile cart. *Pediatric Critical Care Medicine*, 16(2), 149–155. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PCC.0000000000000307>
7. Baker, D. P., Day, R., & Salas, E. (2006). Teamwork as an essential component of high-reliability organizations. *Health Services Research*, 41(4 Pt 2), 1576–1598. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6773.2006.00566.x>
8. Fernandez, R., Kozlowski, S. W. J., Shapiro, M. J., & Salas, E. (2008). Toward a definition of teamwork in emergency medicine. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, 15(11), 1104–1112. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1553-2712.2008.00250.x>
9. Manser, T. (2009). Teamwork and patient safety in dynamic domains of healthcare: A review of the literature. *Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica*, 53(2), 143–151. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-6576.2008.01717.x>
10. Hughes, A. M., Gregory, M. E., Joseph, D. L., Sonesh, S., Marlow, S. L., Lacerenza, C. N., & Salas, E. (2016). Saving lives: A meta-analysis of team training in healthcare. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 101(9), 1266–1304. <https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000120>
11. Patterson, P. D., Weaver, M. D., Weaver, S. J., Rosen, M. A., Todorova, G., & Hogan, T. M. (2012). Teamwork and patient safety in dynamic domains of healthcare: A systematic review. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 21(1), 11–23.
12. Dietz, A. S., Pronovost, P. J., Mendez-Tellez, P. A., Wyskiel, R. M., Marsteller, J. A., Thompson, D. A., & Rosen, M. A. (2014). A systematic review of teamwork in the intensive care unit: What do we know about teamwork, team tasks, and improvement strategies? *Journal of Critical Care*, 29(6), 908–914. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2014.05.025>
13. Lingard, L., Espin, S., Evans, C., & Hawryluck, L. (2004). The rules of the game: Interprofessional collaboration on the intensive care unit team. *Critical Care*, 8(6), R403–R408. <https://doi.org/10.1186/cc2958>





14. Smith, A. F., & Cole, G. (2009). Patient safety and teamwork in the operating room: A review. *Surgery*, 147(2), 232–238. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2009.10.029>
15. O’Leary, K. J., Ritter, C. D., Wheeler, H., Szekendi, M. K., Brinton, T. S., & Williams, M. V. (2010). Teamwork on inpatient medical units: Assessing attitudes and barriers. *Quality and Safety in Health Care*, 19(2), 117–121.
16. Havyer, R. D., Wingo, M. T., Comfere, N. I., Nelson, D. R., Halvorsen, A. J., McDonald, F. S., & Reed, D. A. (2014). Teamwork assessment in internal medicine: A systematic review of validity evidence and outcomes. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 29(6), 894–910. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-013-2686-8>
17. Flin, R., O’Connor, P., & Crichton, M. (2008). *Safety at the sharp end: A guide to non-technical skills*. CRC Press.
18. Schmutz, J. B., & Manser, T. (2013). Do team processes really have an effect on clinical performance? A systematic literature review. *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, 110(4), 529–544. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aes513>
19. Lorello, G. R., Cook, D. A., Johnson, R. L., & Brydges, R. (2016). Simulation-based training in anaesthesiology: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, 116(6), 744–756. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aew099>
20. Sorbero, M. E., Farley, D. O., Mattke, S., Lovejoy, S., & Beam, R. (2008). Outcome measures for effective teamwork in inpatient care. *RAND Health Quarterly*, 6(3).
21. Green, B., Oeppen, R. S., Smith, D. W., & Brennan, P. A. (2017). Challenging hierarchy in healthcare teams – Ways to flatten gradients to improve teamwork and patient care. *British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, 55(5), 449–453. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjoms.2017.02.010>
22. Reader, T. W., Flin, R., Mearns, K., & Cuthbertson, B. H. (2009). Developing a team performance framework for the intensive care unit. *Critical Care Medicine*, 37(5), 1787–1793.
23. Salas, E., Shuffler, M. L., Thayer, A. L., Bedwell, W. L., & Lazzara, E. H. (2015). Understanding and improving teamwork in organizations: A scientifically based practical guide. *Human Resource Management*, 54(4), 599–622. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.21628>
24. Hughes, R. G., & Clancy, C. M. (2009). Teamwork and communication: Patient safety and staff satisfaction. *Nursing Economics*, 27(5), 308–314.
25. Kalisch, B. J., Weaver, S. J., & Salas, E. (2009). What does nursing teamwork look like? A qualitative study. *Journal of Nursing Care Quality*, 24(4), 298–307. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NCQ.0b013e3181a001c0>
26. Thomas, E. J., Sexton, J. B., & Helmreich, R. L. (2003). Discrepant attitudes about teamwork among critical care nurses and physicians. *Critical Care Medicine*, 31(3), 956–959. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.CCM.0000056183.89175.76>



27. Weller, J., Boyd, M., & Cumin, D. (2014). Teams, tribes, and patient safety: Overcoming barriers to effective teamwork in healthcare. *Postgraduate Medical Journal*, 90(1061), 149–154. <https://doi.org/10.1136/postgradmedj-2012-131168>
28. Gittell, J. H. (2009). High performance healthcare: Using the power of relationships to achieve quality, efficiency, and resilience. *McGraw-Hill Education*.
29. Lingard, L., Reznick, R., Espin, S., Regehr, G., & DeVito, I. (2002). Team communications in the operating room: Talk patterns, sites of tension, and implications for novices. *Academic Medicine*, 77(3), 232–237. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00001888-200203000-00016>
30. McCulloch, P., Rathbone, J., & Catchpole, K. (2011). Interventions to improve teamwork and communications among healthcare staff. *British Journal of Surgery*, 98(4), 469–479. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.7434>
31. Brindley, P. G., & Reynolds, S. F. (2011). Improving verbal communication in critical care medicine. *Journal of Critical Care*, 26(2), 155–159. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2010.06.004>
32. Rosen, M. A., Pronovost, P. J., & Weaver, S. J. (2014). Teamwork in healthcare: Key discoveries and interventions to improve patient safety and outcomes. *Annual Review of Medicine*, 65, 453–467.
33. Kohn, L. T., Corrigan, J. M., & Donaldson, M. S. (2000). *To err is human: Building a safer health system*. National Academy Press.
34. Manser, T. (2009). Teamwork and patient safety in dynamic domains of healthcare: A review of the literature. *Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica*, 53(2), 143–151. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-6576.2008.01717.x>
35. Leach, L. S., & Mayo, A. M. (2013). Rapid response teams: Qualitative analysis of their effectiveness. *American Journal of Critical Care*, 22(3), 198–210. <https://doi.org/10.4037/ajcc2013990>
36. Edmondson, A. C. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44(2), 350–383. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2666999>
37. Carayon, P., & Gurses, A. P. (2008). Nursing workload and patient safety—A human factors engineering perspective. *Patient Safety and Quality: An Evidence-Based Handbook for Nurses*, 2, 203–214.
38. Makary, M. A., & Daniel, M. (2016). Medical error—The third leading cause of death in the US. *BMJ*, 353, i2139. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i2139>
39. Johnson, S. T., Kimsey, D., & Martinez, J. M. (2017). The impact of communication and teamwork on patient safety: A case for simulation. *Advances in Patient Safety*, 3(4), 125–132.
40. Jones, A., & Jones, D. (2011). Improving teamwork, trust and safety: An ethnographic study of an interprofessional initiative. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 25(3), 175–181. <https://doi.org/10.3109/13561820.2010.505350>





41. Powell, S. M., & Hill, R. K. (2006). My patient, my nurse: The use of nurses as primary caregivers in the ED. *Journal of Emergency Nursing*, 32(3), 234–240.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jen.2006.02.013>