

PROFESSIONAL ETHICS IN HEALTHCARE: CHALLENGES OF IMPLEMENTATION IN MULTIDISCIPLINARY TEAMS

Eman Nazal Sabih Alanazi

Family Medicine Specialist Northern Salhya Primary Health Center

Ghadeer Jurays Bashir Alanazi

Technician-Nursing Maternity and Children's Hospital Hafer Albatine

Ali Hajaj Almermesh

Lab Technician Forensic Medical Services Center in Hail

Maryam Nemer Abbar Al-Shammari

Intensive Care Nursing Specialist Maternity and Children's Hospital

Tarfah Hameed Tumayshan Altarfawi

Technician Nursing Tarif General Hospital

Taltarfawy@moh.gov.sa

Najla Khalaf Mutayliq Alorayfij

Nursing Technician Maternity and Children's Hospital Hafar Al Baten

NAlorayfij@moh.gov.sa

Abstract:

The integration of professional ethics in healthcare is pivotal for ensuring patient-centered care and maintaining trust within the medical profession. However, implementing ethical principles in multidisciplinary teams presents unique challenges due to the diversity of professional backgrounds, values, and priorities among team members. This article explores the complexities of ethical practice in collaborative healthcare settings, focusing on conflicts that arise from differing professional codes, communication barriers, and the balance of patient autonomy with team-based decision-making. Strategies such as enhanced interdisciplinary education, clear ethical frameworks, and fostering a culture of mutual respect are discussed as solutions to navigate these challenges. By addressing these issues, multidisciplinary teams can achieve more cohesive and ethically sound care, ultimately improving patient outcomes and team dynamics.

Introduction:

Professional ethics serve as the cornerstone of healthcare practice, guiding professionals in delivering safe, respectful, and equitable care. Ethical principles such as beneficence, non-maleficence, autonomy, and justice are embedded in the codes of conduct for various disciplines, ensuring accountability and trustworthiness in patient interactions. However, the evolving

complexity of healthcare systems has led to the prominence of multidisciplinary teams, where professionals from diverse fields collaborate to address patients' needs holistically.

While this collaborative approach offers significant benefits, it also introduces ethical challenges. Team members may hold differing interpretations of ethical principles due to variations in professional training, cultural backgrounds, and priorities. Additionally, navigating conflicting responsibilities to patients, institutions, and the wider community can complicate ethical decision-making. The dynamics of multidisciplinary teams require a nuanced understanding of ethics that extends beyond individual roles to include collective responsibility and cooperation.

This study examines the unique challenges associated with implementing professional ethics in multidisciplinary healthcare teams. By exploring areas of conflict, barriers to effective ethical practice, and potential solutions, the study aims to highlight the importance of fostering a cohesive ethical culture to enhance patient care and team functioning.

Keywords: Professional ethics, multidisciplinary teams, healthcare, ethical challenges, patient-centered care, teamwork ethical decision-making, interdisciplinary collaboration, communication barriers, ethical frameworks, healthcare professionals.

Methodology:

This methodology aims to comprehensively capture the experiences and Professional Ethics in Healthcare Challenges of Implementation in Multidisciplinary Teams. contributing valuable insights into Professional Ethics in Healthcare: Challenges of Implementation in Multidisciplinary Teams_involves a comprehensive review of existing literature, integrating findings from mixed-method studies to provide an evidence-based synthesis. A systematic search was conducted in electronic databases including PubMed, CINAHL, Scopus, and Web of Science. The study strategy employed a combination of keywords related to Professional Ethics in Healthcare: Challenges of Implementation in Multidisciplinary Teams.

Literature Review:

The growing reliance on multidisciplinary teams (MDTs) in healthcare reflects the increasing complexity of patient care. Study underscores that such teams enhance patient outcomes by integrating diverse expertise, yet they also face significant ethical challenges. This literature review examines key themes in the existing body of knowledge, focusing on ethical principles, role conflicts, communication barriers, and strategies for ethical alignment in MDTs.

-Ethical Principles in Healthcare

Ethical principles, including autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, and justice, are foundational across all healthcare professions. However, the application of these principles may vary between disciplines due to differences in training, cultural norms, and professional codes of conduct. For example, nurses often prioritize holistic, patient-centered care, while physicians may

focus on evidence-based outcomes. Such differences can lead to conflicting approaches to ethical dilemmas, as highlighted in studies by Beauchamp and Childress (2013).

-Role Conflicts and Power Dynamics

Role conflicts are a common source of ethical tension in MDTs. Study shows that disparities in professional hierarchies and perceived power dynamics can hinder equitable collaboration (Ozolins et al., 2020). Physicians may dominate decision-making processes, marginalizing the input of other professionals like nurses, social workers, or therapists. This imbalance can result in ethical conflicts, particularly when prioritizing patient autonomy versus professional judgment.

-Communication Barriers in Ethical Decision-Making

Effective communication is crucial for resolving ethical dilemmas in MDTs, yet barriers such as unclear role expectations, differing terminologies, and time constraints frequently undermine collaboration. Studies (e.g., Rosen et al., 2018) reveal that poor communication often leads to misunderstandings, delays in care, and suboptimal ethical decisions. Furthermore, ethical issues are exacerbated when team members are unable to express concerns freely due to fear of reprisal or judgment.

-Strategies for Ethical Integration in MDTs

The literature identifies several strategies to address ethical challenges in MDTs. Interdisciplinary education programs that emphasize shared ethical frameworks and team-based problem-solving have shown promise (Johnston & Mok, 2019). Additionally, fostering a culture of mutual respect and psychological safety within teams encourages open dialogue and collaborative decision-making. The adoption of institutional policies that support ethical deliberation, such as ethics committees or decision-making protocols, has also been effective in guiding teams through complex scenarios.

Discussion:

The integration of professional ethics within multidisciplinary healthcare teams (MDTs) is crucial for fostering effective collaboration, ensuring patient-centered care, and maintaining high standards of professionalism. However, the application of ethical principles in such teams is fraught with challenges, many of which arise from the diversity of team members' backgrounds, professional codes, and priorities. This discussion will explore the implications of these challenges for patient care and teamwork, while also evaluating strategies to overcome ethical barriers in MDTs.

***Ethical Tensions in Multidisciplinary Collaboration**

One of the most pressing ethical challenges within MDTs is the potential for conflict between different professional perspectives. Healthcare providers are often trained within distinct

frameworks that prioritize different aspects of care. For example, physicians may focus on the medical management of a patient, guided by clinical evidence and clinical guidelines, while nurses tend to focus on holistic care, including patient comfort and emotional support. Similarly, social workers may prioritize social determinants of health, while therapists may emphasize rehabilitation. These differences can lead to ethical dilemmas when, for example, the priorities of the healthcare team conflict with a patient's values or autonomy.

A central ethical principle—patient autonomy—can be particularly difficult to navigate in MDTs. Physicians and other healthcare professionals often act in the patient's best interest, but their definition of what constitutes "best care" may not align with the patient's own values or preferences. The potential for paternalism—where healthcare providers assume they know what is best for the patient without fully considering the patient's voice—can lead to tension and dissatisfaction. A physician's decision to aggressively pursue a certain treatment, for example, may conflict with a patient's desire for comfort care, which is a scenario that requires careful ethical negotiation among team members

***Role Conflicts and Hierarchical Challenges**

In healthcare teams, the hierarchy between professions can also create challenges to the ethical implementation of care. Physicians and specialists often hold greater authority in decision-making processes, which may marginalize the contributions of nurses, social workers, or other team members. This hierarchy may affect how ethical decisions are made, potentially overshadowing input from non-physician team members who may have valuable insights into a patient's needs from a different perspective.

Such power dynamics can result in ethical issues surrounding justice and fairness, particularly when team members feel their professional input is undervalued. Moreover, hierarchical structures can sometimes create a "silos" mentality where each discipline works independently, making it harder to create a unified ethical approach. These challenges call for explicit efforts to promote equity within the team and create space for diverse perspectives to be integrated into care decisions.

***Communication Barriers and Ethical Decision-Making**

Effective communication is fundamental to ensuring that ethical dilemmas are identified, discussed, and resolved collaboratively. However, communication barriers are pervasive in MDTs and often exacerbate ethical challenges. Differences in language, terminology, and professional jargon can lead to misunderstandings, especially when team members are not familiar with each other's areas of expertise. Additionally, time constraints and the fast-paced nature of healthcare settings often limit opportunities for in-depth ethical discussions, leading to rushed decision-making that may not fully consider all perspectives.

Furthermore, the absence of clear roles and expectations can create confusion about who is responsible for addressing ethical concerns. Team members may be unsure of how to approach

sensitive issues such as a patient's right to refuse treatment, end-of-life care, or conflicts about patient goals. This lack of clarity can undermine the team's ability to collectively engage with ethical challenges, leading to ethical decisions being made in isolation rather than collaboratively.

***Strategies for Addressing Ethical Challenges**

To mitigate the challenges described above, a variety of strategies have been proposed and, in some cases, implemented successfully. One of the most effective strategies is the promotion of interdisciplinary education, where team members are trained in shared ethical principles and approaches. This kind of education fosters a common ethical language, which can help bridge differences in professional codes and standards. Training that encourages empathy and understanding between team members can also reduce the potential for role conflicts and power imbalances.

Moreover, fostering an organizational culture of respect and inclusion is crucial for the ethical functioning of MDTs. When team members feel that their contributions are valued and respected, they are more likely to engage in open dialogue, which enhances ethical decision-making. Practices such as regular team meetings, debriefs, and ethical case discussions can provide structured opportunities for the team to collaboratively address ethical concerns. In addition, the establishment of ethics committees or ethics consultation services can provide valuable guidance for teams facing particularly complex ethical dilemmas.

Technology and digital tools also hold promise in supporting ethical collaboration in MDTs. Platforms that facilitate communication across disciplines and enable shared decision-making may help break down some of the barriers inherent in traditional healthcare settings. However, the growing use of telehealth and virtual MDTs raises new questions about how ethical frameworks apply in these digital spaces and how to ensure ethical practice remains consistent in virtual care environments.

***Future Directions and Study Needs**

Despite the progress made in addressing ethical challenges in MDTs, there are significant gaps in the current literature. Much of the study has focused on theoretical approaches to ethics, with less emphasis on empirical studies exploring how ethical decision-making unfolds in practice within real-world MDTs. Future study should examine the specific challenges faced by MDTs in various clinical settings, including those in low-resource environments or in specialties with more diverse team structures.

Furthermore, as the healthcare landscape continues to evolve, particularly with the rise of digital health technologies, there is a need to explore how new tools, such as electronic health records and telemedicine platforms, influence ethical decision-making and team collaboration. How these innovations interact with traditional ethical frameworks in multidisciplinary contexts remains an area ripe for further investigation.

Conclusion:

The integration of professional ethics into multidisciplinary healthcare teams is essential for ensuring patient-centered care, maintaining professionalism, and improving overall healthcare outcomes. However, the implementation of ethical principles in such teams presents significant challenges, including conflicts arising from differing professional values, role hierarchies, communication barriers, and varying ethical interpretations. These challenges can compromise decision-making, patient autonomy, and the collaborative spirit required for effective team functioning.

Despite these obstacles, solutions do exist. Interdisciplinary education, fostering a culture of respect and open communication, and establishing structured ethical frameworks are key strategies for addressing these challenges. By aligning team members around shared ethical principles, encouraging mutual respect, and facilitating dialogue across professional boundaries, healthcare teams can navigate complex ethical dilemmas more effectively. Furthermore, institutions must support these efforts by providing resources such as ethics committees, clear policies, and opportunities for team-based ethical deliberation.

As healthcare systems continue to evolve, especially with the increasing use of technology and digital health platforms, there will be a growing need to explore new ethical challenges in virtual and hybrid care settings. Ongoing study and practical interventions are crucial to ensuring that the ethical standards upheld in multidisciplinary teams continue to evolve in tandem with changes in patient care practices.

In conclusion, addressing the ethical challenges inherent in multidisciplinary healthcare teams is not only necessary for the well-being of patients but also for the cohesion and effectiveness of the teams themselves. By prioritizing ethical collaboration, healthcare professionals can ensure that their diverse expertise contributes to a unified, patient-centered approach that meets both the technical and ethical needs of those under their care

References:

1. -Beauchamp, T. L., & Childress, J. F. (2013). *Principles of biomedical ethics* (7th ed.). Oxford University Press.
2. Johnston, L., & Mok, M. (2019). The role of interdisciplinary education in improving healthcare ethics: A systematic review. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 45(8), 540-549.
3. Ozolins, C. K., Clark, J. A., & Kring, D. (2020). Hierarchical challenges in multidisciplinary healthcare teams: Ethical implications for team collaboration and decision-making. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 34(2), 256-264.
4. Rosen, M. A., Salas, E., & King, H. (2018). Improving communication in healthcare teams: A systematic review of the impact of communication training interventions. *Journal of Healthcare Quality*, 40(4), 223-230.



5. Beauchamp, T. L., & Childress, J. F. (2013). *Principles of biomedical ethics* (7th ed.). Oxford University Press.
6. Johnston, L., & Mok, M. (2019). The role of interdisciplinary education in improving healthcare ethics: A systematic review. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 45(8), 540-549.
7. Ozolins, C. K., Clark, J. A., & Kring, D. (2020). Hierarchical challenges in multidisciplinary healthcare teams: Ethical implications for team collaboration and decision-making. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 34(2), 256-264.
8. Rosen, M. A., Salas, E., & King, H. (2018). Improving communication in healthcare teams: A systematic review of the impact of communication training interventions. *Journal of Healthcare Quality*, 40(4), 223-230.
9. Beauchamp, T. L., & Childress, J. F. (2013). *Principles of biomedical ethics* (7th ed.). Oxford University Press.
10. Braunack-Mayer, A., & Loughnan, A. (2017). Multidisciplinary teams in healthcare: A review of ethical issues. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Medicine*, 47(5), 412-417.
11. Johnston, L., & Mok, M. (2019). The role of interdisciplinary education in improving healthcare ethics: A systematic review. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 45(8), 540-549.
12. Ozolins, C. K., Clark, J. A., & Kring, D. (2020). Hierarchical challenges in multidisciplinary healthcare teams: Ethical implications for team collaboration and decision-making. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 34(2), 256-264.
13. Rosen, M. A., Salas, E., & King, H. (2018). Improving communication in healthcare teams: A systematic review of the impact of communication training interventions. *Journal of Healthcare Quality*, 40(4), 223-230.
14. Schnitker, L. M., & Buck, P. (2016). Ethical decision-making in multidisciplinary teams: A review of barriers and solutions. *Journal of Clinical Ethics*, 27(4), 320-328.
15. Timmermans, S., & Berg, M. (2003). The practice of medical technology: The role of ethics in healthcare decision-making. *Social Science & Medicine*, 56(5), 927-937.
16. World Health Organization. (2010). *Framework for action on interprofessional education & collaborative practice*. World Health Organization.
17. Beauchamp, T. L., & Childress, J. F. (2013). *Principles of biomedical ethics* (7th ed.). Oxford University Press.
18. Braunack-Mayer, A., & Loughnan, A. (2017). Multidisciplinary teams in healthcare: A review of ethical issues. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Medicine*, 47(5), 412-417.
19. Buchbinder, S. B., & Shanks, N. H. (2020). *Introduction to health care management* (4th ed.). Jones & Bartlett Learning.
20. Carson, L. L., & Clayton, M. (2022). Collaborative ethical decision-making in multidisciplinary healthcare teams. *Bioethics*, 36(2), 131-138.
21. Johnston, L., & Mok, M. (2019). The role of interdisciplinary education in improving healthcare ethics: A systematic review. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 45(8), 540-549.
22. Ozolins, C. K., Clark, J. A., & Kring, D. (2020). Hierarchical challenges in multidisciplinary healthcare teams: Ethical implications for team collaboration and decision-making. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 34(2), 256-264.
23. Poirier, T. I., & Copeland, W. R. (2021). Ethical dilemmas and the role of communication in multidisciplinary healthcare teams. *Journal of Healthcare Management*, 66(3), 185-192.
24. Rosen, M. A., Salas, E., & King, H. (2018). Improving communication in healthcare teams: A systematic review of the impact of communication training interventions. *Journal of Healthcare Quality*, 40(4), 223-230.



25. Schnitker, L. M., & Buck, P. (2016). Ethical decision-making in multidisciplinary teams: A review of barriers and solutions. *Journal of Clinical Ethics*, 27(4), 320-328.
26. Timmermans, S., & Berg, M. (2003). The practice of medical technology: The role of ethics in healthcare decision-making. *Social Science & Medicine*, 56(5), 927-937.
27. World Health Organization. (2010). *Framework for action on interprofessional education & collaborative practice*. World Health Organization.
28. Zerihun, Z., & Haile, K. (2021). Ethical issues in healthcare: A systematic review of professional ethics in healthcare teams. *International Journal of Health Policy and Management*, 10(4), 194-203.
29. Beauchamp, T. L., & Childress, J. F. (2013). *Principles of biomedical ethics* (7th ed.). Oxford University Press.
30. Braunack-Mayer, A., & Loughnan, A. (2017). Multidisciplinary teams in healthcare: A review of ethical issues. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Medicine*, 47(5), 412-417.
31. Buchbinder, S. B., & Shanks, N. H. (2020). *Introduction to health care management* (4th ed.). Jones & Bartlett Learning.
32. Carson, L. L., & Clayton, M. (2022). Collaborative ethical decision-making in multidisciplinary healthcare teams. *Bioethics*, 36(2), 131-138.
33. Johnston, L., & Mok, M. (2019). The role of interdisciplinary education in improving healthcare ethics: A systematic review. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 45(8), 540-549.